arXiv:0807.2110v1 [math.PR] 14 Jul 2008

Generalized fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

Kotaro ENDO† and Muneya MATSUI ‡
† Department of Mathematics, Keio University
‡ Center for Mathematical Science, Munich University of Technology
and Department of Mathematics, Keio University

July 14, 2008

Abstract

We introduce an extended version of the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (FOU) process where the integrand is replaced by the exponential of an independent Lévy process. We call the process the generalized fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (GFOU) process. Alternatively, the process can be constructed from a generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (GOU) process using an independent fractional Brownian motion (FBM) as integrator. We show that the GFOU process is well-defined by checking the existence of the integral included in the process, and investigate its properties. It is proved that the process has a stationary version and exhibits long memory. We also find that the process satisfies a certain stochastic differential equation. Our underlying intention is to introduce long memory into the GOU process which has short memory without losing the possibility of jumps. Note that both FOU and GOU processes have found application in a variety of fields as useful alternatives to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process.

Keywords: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Lévy process, Stochastic integral, Long memory, Fractional Brownian motion.

1 Introduction

The fractional Brownian motion (FBM) is one of the most popular processes for constructing long-range dependent stochastic processes with continuous path and its fields of applications are very wide. To name just a few, we see FBM models in the fields of telecommunications, signal processes, environmental models and economics. A recent reference is e.g., Doukhan et al. (2003). Statistical methods for FBM have also been studied (see e.g. Beran (1994)).

We review the definition and name properties of FBM.

Definition 1.1 *Let* $0 < H \leq 1$. *A fractional Brownian motion* $B^H := \{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *is a centered Gaussian process with* $B_0^H = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Cov}\left(B_t^H, B_s^H\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right),\ t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

[‡] Correspondence to Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan. E-mail:mmuneya@gmail.com. This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

From the definition FBM has stationary increments and is self-similar with index H, i.e., for $c > 0$ $\{B^H_{ct}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \overset{d}{=} \{c^H B^H_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ where $\overset{d}{=}$ denotes equality of all finite dimensional distributions. While $\{B^H_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with $H = 1/2$ is a two-sided Brownian motion (BM) and has independent increments, $\{B^H_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ has dependent increments. For $0 < h < s$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_h(s) &:= \operatorname{Cov}(B_{t+h} - B_t, B_{t+s+h} - B_{t+s}) = \operatorname{Cov}(B_h, B_{s+h} - B_s) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{2n-1} \frac{h^{2n}}{(2n)!}(2H-k) \right) s^{2H-2n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{2n-1} \frac{h^{2n}}{(2n)!}(2H-k) \right) s^{2H-2n} + O\left(s^{2H-2N-2}\right), \text{ as } s \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, B^H with $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ has a long memory property, namely, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_h(nh) = \infty$. Finally B^H is known to have bounded p-variation for $1/H < p < \infty$ (see Proposition 2.2 of Mikosch and Norvaiša (2000)). For a more detailed theoretical treatment, we refer to Embrechts and Maejima (2002) or Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (1994).

On the other hand, recently, extensions of the classical OU process have been suggested mainly on demand of applications. The generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process given below is one with Lévy processes and plays important role in Economics (pricing of Asian options, perpetuities and risk theory). For its theories and applications, we refer to e.g, Carmona et al. (2001), Erickson and Maller (2005), Lindner and Maller (2005) and Klüppelberg and Kostadinova (2008). A multivariate extension is also considered in Kondo et al. (2006) and Endo and Matsui (2008). Let $\{(\xi_t, \eta_t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a bivariate Lévy process and V_0 be an independent initial random variable. A generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (GOU) process is defined as

$$V_t = e^{-\xi_t}\left(V_0 + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s \right), \ t \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

The stationarity and the convergence or divergence property have been intensively studied. If $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\eta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are independent and $\int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s$ converges $a.s.$ as $t \to \infty$ to a finite random variable, $V := \{V_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ has the stationary version (see e.g. Remark 2.2 of Lindner and Maller (2005)). The short memory property of V was also shown in Section 4 of Lindner and Maller (2005).

Another extension of the original OU process is the fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, where FBM is used as integrator. An advantage of using the process is to realize stationary long range dependent processes. Let $\lambda > 0$ and an initial random variable $X^H_0 \in L^1$. A fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (FOU) process is defined as

$$X^H_t = e^{-\lambda t}\left(X^H_0 + \int_0^t e^{\lambda s} dB^H_s \right). \tag{2}$$

Here we need a non-semimartingale approach to construct stochastic integrals with FBM. We can find several useful theoretical tools in e.g., Lin (1995), Mikosch and Norvaiša (2000) or Pipiras and Taqqu (2000). Cheridito et al. (2003) has shown that the FOU process is the unique continuous solution of a Langevin equation: $X_t = X^H_0 - \lambda \int_0^t X_s ds + B^H_t, \ t \geq 0$ and investigated its dependence properties. The main purpose of this paper is to construct a version of the GOU process which allows for long memory modeling by the use of a FBM.

In order to define a generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process we define a two-sided Lévy process as

$$\xi_t := \begin{cases} \xi_t^1 & \text{if} \quad t \geq 0 \\ -\xi_{-t-}^2 & \text{if} \quad t < 0, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\{\xi_t^1\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\xi_t^2\}_{t\geq 0}$ are independent copies of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. We work throughout with a bivariate complete probability space

$$(\Omega := \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathscr{F} := \mathscr{F}_1 \otimes \mathscr{F}_2, P := P_1 \otimes P_2) . \tag{4}$$

Let $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ defined on $(\Omega_1, \mathscr{F}_1, P_1)$ be a Lévy process and a FBM $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ defined on $(\Omega_2, \mathscr{F}_2, P_2)$ which is independent of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. A generalized fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (GFOU) process with initial value $Y_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$Y_t := e^{-\xi_t}\left(Y_0 + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H\right), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

If the initial variable satisfies

$$Y_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H, \tag{6}$$

then, for convenience, we sometimes replace $Y = \{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with

$$\overline{Y}_t := e^{-\xi_t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H. \tag{7}$$

The process Y is regarded as an extension of V given in (1) where the stochastic process of integration $\{\eta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is replaced with a $\{B_t^H\}_{t\geq 0}$ with $H \in (0,1)$ and also is regarded as an extended version of the FOU process where the integrand is replaced by the exponential of an independent Lévy process ξ_t. We should remark that Y has jumps caused by the process $e^{-\xi_t}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 we recall the definition of Lévy processes and summarize properties needed. In Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 we review Riemann-Stieltjes integrals for functions with bounded p-variation and the stochastic integral in the $L^2(\Omega)$-sense respectively. In Section 3 we investigate the existence of the integral in the GFOU process in order to justify the definition of the GFOU process. The stationarity condition and the second order behavior of the GFOU process are discussed in Section 4, and we observe the long memory property. Here we also examine stochastic integrals constructed by a single FBM, where ξ in the process Y is replaced with B^H used as the integrator. In Section 5 we obtain a stochastic differential equation, whose solution is given in form of the GFOU process.

We use the following notations throughout. Write $\overset{a.s.}{=}$ if equality holds almost surely. We will take the expectations for a bivariate process $\{(Z_t^1, Z_t^2)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. If the expectations only for a process $\{Z_t^1\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is considered, we write its expectation as E_{Z^1}.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Lévy processes

In this subsection we introduce the setup for the Lévy process. Let $\xi := \{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}$ with $(a_\xi, \nu_\xi, \gamma_\xi)$ generating triplet, where $a_\xi \geq 0$ and $\gamma_\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants and a measure ν_ξ

on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (1 \wedge |x|^2)\nu_\xi(dx) < \infty.$$

We call ν_ξ the Lévy measure of ξ. Then, the characteristic function of ξ_t at time $t = 1$ is written as

$$Ee^{iz\xi_1} = \exp\left[-\frac{a_\xi}{2}z^2 + i\gamma_\xi z + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(e^{izx} - 1 - izx1_{\{|x|\le 1\}}\right)\nu_\xi(dx)\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{8}$$

For more on Lévy processes and their properties, we refer to Sato (1999). In later sections we consider several examples related the α-stable Lévy motion with index $0 < \alpha < 2$, denoted by $\xi^\alpha := \{\xi_t^\alpha\}_{t\ge 0}$. It is a Lévy process and its generating triplet is $(0, \nu_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ where

$$\nu_\alpha(dx) := \begin{cases} c_1 x^{-1-\alpha}dx & \text{on} \quad (0,\infty) \\ c_2|x|^{-1-\alpha}dx & \text{on} \quad (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

with $c_1 \ge 0,\ c_2 \ge 0$ and $c_1 + c_2 \ge 0$.

In order to define the in (5), the variation of the Lévy process plays an important role. We give a brief summary based mainly on Section 5.4 of Dudley and Norvaiša (1998) and p.408 of Mikosch and Norvaiša (2000). Define the p-variation for $0 < p < \infty$ of a process $X := \{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on $[t_1, t_2]$ for $t_1 < t_2$ in $\mathbb{R}$ as

$$v_p(X) := v_p(X, [t_1, t_2]) := \sup_\Delta \sum_{i=1}^n \left|X_{s_i} - X_{s_{i-1}}\right|^p, \tag{9}$$

where Δ is a partition $t_1 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_n = t_2$ of $[t_1, t_2]$ and $n \ge 1$. If $v_p(X, [t_1, t_2]) < \infty$ for all $t_1 < t_2$, we say X has bounded p-variation, and if $v_1(X, [t_1, t_2]) < \infty$ we say it is of bounded variation. Since every Lévy process is a semimartingale $v_p(\xi) < \infty$ for $p \ge 2$ (see Lépingle (1976)). We will state three useful results which characterize p-variation of Lévy process in terms of the Lévy measure. Unfortunately we can not find a result which uniformly characterize the variation in terms of the Lévy measure. Assumptions and results are somewhat different from paper to paper. The first one is a well-known result (e.g. Theorem 21,9 (i) of Sato (1999)).

1. Bounded variation

A Lévy process $\{\xi_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ is of bounded variation if and only if

$$a_\xi = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (1 \wedge |x|)\nu_\xi(dx) < \infty.$$

The next result is a combination of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 of Blumenthal and Getoor (1961) and Theorem 2 of Monroe (1972).

2.(a) p-variation of Lévy processes

Define $\beta = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \int_{|x|<1} |x|^\alpha \nu_\xi(dx) < \infty\}$. We call β the Blumenthal and Getoor index. If the Lévy process $\{\xi_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ has no Gaussian component ($a_\xi = 0$), then

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(\xi; [0,1]) &< \infty \quad a.s. \quad \text{if} \quad p > \beta \\ v_p(\xi; [0,1]) &= \infty \quad a.s. \quad \text{if} \quad p < \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The result by Bretagnolle (1972) is a sharpened version of **2.(a)** but with zero mean assumption.

2.(b) p-variation of Lévy processes

Let $1 < p < 2$ and $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a Lévy process without Gaussian component ($a_\xi = 0$). Then $v_p(\xi; [0,1]) < \infty$ *a.s.* if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (1 \wedge |x|^p)\nu_\xi(dx) < \infty.$$

Otherwise $v_p(\xi; [0,1]) = \infty$ *a.s.*

In particular for α-stable Lévy processes we have the result by Fristedt and Taylor (1973) which was stated in convenient form in Mikosch and Norvaiša (2000).

3. p-variation of α-stable Lévy processes

Let $\{\xi_t^\alpha\}_{t\geq 0}$ be α-stable Lévy motion. Assume that $\gamma_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha < 1$ and that the Lévy measure is symmetric for $\alpha = 1$. Then $v_p(\xi_\alpha)$ is finite or infinite with probability 1 according as $p > \alpha$ or $p \leq \alpha$.

For the existence of the infinite interval integral in $\{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ given in (7) we further need the behavior of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ as $t \to \infty$. Our assumption is that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$. Doney and Maller (2002)[Theorem 4.4] have obtained an equivalent condition of this in terms of the Lévy measure ν_ξ. Since several papers well explain equivalent conditions, (see p.72 of Erickson and Maller (2005) or p.1704 of Lindner and Maller (2005)) we do not mention it. Actually if $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$ holds, we can assert a stronger result, which is more useful for our aim.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$. *Then for almost all* $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ *there exist* $\delta > 0$ *and* $t_0 = t_0(\omega_1) < \infty$ *such that*

$$\xi_t > \delta t \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The proof is a combination of Theorem 4.3 and 4.4 in Doney and Maller (2002). Concerning the integral $\int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s$ in the GFOU process given by (1), Erickson and Maller (2005) have characterized the convergence of improper integral $\int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s$ in terms of the Lévy measure of $\{(\xi_t, \eta_t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, in which the condition $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$ was used.

2.2 Integrals with respect to functions with unbounded variation

2.2.1 Riemann-Stieltjes integrals with p-variation

We review several useful definitions of integrals of functions which have unbounded variation but bounded p-variation. The excellent introduction to this area is given by Dudley and Norvaiša (1998). Let f and g be real functions on $[a, b]$. Define $\kappa = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ to be an intermediate partition of $\Delta = [a = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_n = b]$ given as in (9), namely, $s_{j-1} \leq u_i \leq s_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. A Riemann-Stieltjes sum is defined as

$$S_{RS}(f, g, \Delta, \kappa) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(u_i)\left[g(s_i) - g(s_{i-1})\right].$$

Then we say that the Riemann Stieltjes integral exists and equals to I, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|S_{RS}(f, g, \Delta, \kappa) - I| < \epsilon$$

for all partition Δ with mesh $\max\ (s_i - s_{i-1}) < \delta$ and for all intermediate partitions κ of Δ. The following theorem was proved by Young (1936). (See also Theorem 2.4 of Mikosch and Norvaiša (2000) or Theorem 4.26 of Dudley and Norvaiša (1998).)

Theorem 2.1 *Assume f has bounded p-variation and g has bounded q-variation on $[a, b]$ for some $p, q > 0$ with $p^{-1} + q^{-1} > 1$. Then the integral $\int_a^b f dg$ exists in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense, and the inequality*

$$\left| \int_a^b f dg \right| \leq C_{p,q}(v_p(f))^{1/p}(v_q(g))^{1/q}$$

holds with $C_{p,q} = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-(p^{-1}+q^{-1})}$.

2.2.2 Integral with respect to FBM in L^2-sense

Another definition of the integral is in $L^2(\Omega_2)$-sense. Stochastic integrals with respect to FBM is sometimes defined as the $L^2(\Omega_2)$-limits of integrals of step functions (see e.g. Lin (1995)). We see this when B^H is the Brownian motion $B^{1/2}$. If a function $f(u) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, there exist step functions

$$f_n(u) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i 1_{\{u_i < u \leq u_{i+1}\}}, \quad -\infty < u_1 < \ldots < u_{n+1} < \infty, \quad f_i \in \mathbb{R},\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

such that f_n converges to f in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) dB_u^{1/2}$ is the $L^2(\Omega_2)$-limit of the integrals of step functions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(u) dB_u^{1/2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \left(B_{u_{i+1}}^{1/2} - B_{u_i}^{1/2} \right),$$

since $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\Omega)$ are isometric and their inner products are equal, namely,

$$E\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_n(u) - f(u)) dB_u^{1/2} \right)^2 \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_n(u) - f(u))^2 du.$$

Pipiras and Taqqu (2000) have investigated a similar characterization for the integral of B^H when $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$. We apply this to the existence of the improper integral in the GFOU process $\{\overline{Y}_t\}$ afterward. Define the linear space

$$\overline{Sp}(B^H) := \left\{ X : \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(u) dB_u^H \to X \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ for some sequence } (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ (step functions)} \right\}.$$

Pipiras and Taqqu (2000) have analyzed the functional space of the integrand $f(u)$ in which it can be asymptotically approximated by $f_n(u)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) dB_u^H$ is well-defined. For $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ they succeeded in specified a Hilbert space of functions on the real line which is isometric to $\overline{Sp}(B^H)$. However, for $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ they had difficulty in finding the corresponding isometric space, and as second best they analyzed inner product spaces in which the integral with B^H ($H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$) is well-defined. We give only one such inner product space and its inner product for B^H with $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Other inner product spaces do not seem to work for our purpose since they require e.g. characteristic function of f or fractional derivative of f which do not exists in our case where $f(u) = e^{\xi_u}$. (See Section 7 of Pipiras and Taqqu (2000).) The space is

$$|\Lambda|^H = \left\{ f : \langle |f|, |f| \rangle_{|\Lambda|^H} < \infty \right\}, \quad H \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{|\Lambda|^H} = c_H \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) g(v) |u - v|^{2H-2} du dv$$

is the inner product with $c_H := H(2H - 1)$.

3 Existence of the integral

In this Section we analyze the existence of the integral in the GFOU process given in (5). The definition of the GFOU process includes an integral with respect to FBM. Since paths of FBM are of infinite variation and since FBM with $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is not a semimartingale, the stochastic integral with respect to FBM ($H \neq \frac{1}{2}$) is not an Itô integral. Additionally, the integrand of the GFOU process is random and the infinite interval integral (6) is needed for its stationarity. We apply two approaches of the integral in Section 2.2 in order to cope with these problems.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $B^H := \{B_t^H\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a FBM with $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\xi := \{\xi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be an independent two-sided Lévy process. Assume that $\{\xi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ has bounded p-variation for $0 < p < \infty$. Then the integral $\int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H$, $0 < t < \infty$ exists in the path-wise Riemann-Stieltjes sense if $\frac{1}{p} + H > 1$. Furthermore with $q > \frac{1}{H}$ and $C_{p,q} = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-(p^{-1} + q^{-1})}$ we have*

$$\left| \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H \right| \leq C_{p,q} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} e^{\xi_s} \right) \left(v_p(\xi) \right)^{1/p} \left(v_q \left(B^H \right) \right)^{1/q} \quad P - a.s.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is obvious from the continuity of the exponential function and we omit it.

Remark 3.1 If $p = 1$ in Proposition 3.1, ξ has bounded variation and we can define path-wise integrals for all B^H with $H \in (0, 1)$. On the other hand if $H > \frac{1}{2}$, we can define the path-wise integral for any integrands ξ since $v_p(\xi) < \infty$ for $p > 2$.

The reason why we need the path-wise definition besides the $L^2(\Omega)$p-approach is that we want to define the integral for $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, which we could not obtain in the $L^2(\Omega)$-approach. It also gives several useful tools easily, such as integration by parts or chain rule for analyzing stochastic differential equations in Section 5.

Example 3.1 Set $\xi := \xi^\alpha$ be an α-stable Lévy motion with index α defined in Subsection 2.1. Then under assumption in **3. p-variation of α-stable Lévy processes** and the assumption $\frac{1}{\alpha} + H > 1$. the integral $e^{-\xi_t^\alpha} \int_0^t e^{\xi_{u-}^\alpha} dB_u^H$ exists in the path-wise sense. Hence the GFOU process is well-defined. Both ξ^α and B^H have infinite variation and the former is known to be extremely heavy tailed process.

Recall that we write $Y_t = Y_t(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ to emphasis that it is a function from probability space (4) and that we write $\overline{Y}_t$ if initial value Y_0 satisfies (6). Define approximating step functions of the integrand $e^{-\xi_t+\xi_{u-}}$ of $\overline{Y}_t$ as

$$f_{t,n}(u;\omega_1) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(u;\omega_1)1_{\{u_{i-1}^n < u \le u_i^n\}},$$

where

$$f_i(u;\omega_1) := e^{-\xi_t(\omega_1)+\xi_{u_{i-1}^n}(\omega_1)}.$$

Here u_i^n's are points in $[-N_n, t]$ such that $-N_n = u_0^n < u_1^n < \cdots < u_n^n = t$ and as $n \to \infty$ max $(u_i^n - u_{i-1}^n) \downarrow 0$ and $N_n \uparrow \infty$. By integrating $f_{t,n}$ with respect to B_t^H, we also define approximating sequence as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^n(\omega_1, \omega_2) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{t} f_{t,n}(u;\omega_1) dB_u^H(\omega_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(u;\omega_1)(B^H_{u_i^n(\omega_2)} - B^H_{u_{i-1}^n}(\omega_2)). \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem we define the integral $\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\xi_t - \xi_{u-}} dB_u^H$ as the limit in probability of the Z_t^n as $n \to \infty$. The reason why we need this approach is that with only path-wise definitions we find difficulty to treat improper integrals. For the existence of the improper integral we should consider long time ($t \to \infty$) behavior of both $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ path-wisely, which seems to be not an easy task. Additionally, this approach is well-matched with the analysis of the second order behavior.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *be a FBM with* $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *be an independent two-sided Lévy process. If* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$*, then for each* $t \ge 0$ Z_t^n *given in (12) converges in probability to a limit defined by* $\overline{Y}_t$ *and which does not depend on the sequence* u_i^n*. If further* $E[e^{-2\xi_1}] < 1$*, then* Z_t^n *converges to* $\overline{Y}_t$ *in* $L^2(\Omega)$ *and it follows that*

$$E[\overline{Y}_t] = E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-(\xi_t - \xi_{u-})} dB_u^H\right] = 0$$

and for $0 < s \le t$*,*

$$E[\overline{Y}_s \overline{Y}_t] = \int_{-\infty}^{s}\int_{-\infty}^{t} E_\xi[e^{-\xi_s+\xi_{u-}-\xi_t+\xi_{v-}}]|u-v|^{2H-2} du dv. \tag{12}$$

Remark 3.2 (a) For $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ we could not validate the existence of the improper integral in $\overline{Y}_t$.
(b) Under the only condition that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$, we cannot prove the $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence.
(c) The condition $E[e^{-2\xi_1}] < 1$ implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$, which is shown in Proposition 4.1 of Lindner and Maller (2005).

Proof of Theorem 3.1.
We check that for each ω_1 the integrand $e^{-\xi_t(\omega_1)+\xi_{u-}(\omega_1)} \in |\Lambda|^H$ given in (11). Since $\xi_t(\omega_1)$ is constant, we drop it and only show $e^{\xi_{u-}(\omega_1)} \in |\Lambda|^H$. The function $e^{\xi_{u-}(\omega_1)}$ is càglàd and bounded

on any finite interval. Due to Lemma 2.1 and to the symmetry of two-sided Lévy processes there exists $T(\omega_1) < 0$ such that for all $u \le T(\omega_1)$, $\xi_{u-} < \delta u$ where δ is some positive constant. Then for each ω_1,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\xi_{u-}(\omega_1)+\xi_{v-}(\omega_1)}|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv < \infty$$

is obvious. Hence we can utilize $L^2(\Omega_2)$-integral theory in Section 2.2.2. Namely, for each $t \ge 0$ and for each fixed ω_1, $Z_t^n(\omega_1,\cdot)$ converges in $L^2(\Omega_2, P_2)$. Moreover Z_t^n converges in probability on (Ω, P) for each $t \ge 0$ since sequence Z_t^n satisfies the Cauchy criterion, as seen by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n,m\to\infty} P\left(|Z_t^n - Z_t^m| > \epsilon\right)\\
&\quad = \lim_{n,m\to\infty}\int_{\Omega_1}\int_{\Omega_2} 1_{\{|Z_t^n - Z_t^m|>\epsilon\}}dP_2 dP_1\\
&\quad = \int_{\Omega_1}\lim_{n,m\to\infty} P_2\left(|Z_t^n(\omega_1) - Z_t^m(\omega_1)| > \epsilon\right)dP_1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The limit is called $\overline{Y}_t$ and it is $\mathscr{F}_1 \otimes \mathscr{F}_2$ measurable for each t.

Now, with $E[e^{-2\xi_1}] < 1$, we prove the $L^2(\Omega)$-convergence. We have $E[e^{-\xi_1}] < 1$ as well, hence

$$\theta_1 := -\log E[e^{-\xi_1}] > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 := -\log E[e^{-2\xi_1}] > 0.$$

Then using the covariance of the FBM, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(Z_t^n)^2] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-2\xi_t+\xi_{u_{i-1}^n}+\xi_{u_{j-1}^n}}(B_{u_i^n}^H - B_{u_{i-1}^n}^H)(B_{u_j^n}^H - B_{u_{j-1}^n}^H)\right]\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big\{E_\xi\left[e^{-2\xi_t+\xi_{u_{i-1}^n}+\xi_{u_{j-1}^n}}\right]\frac{1}{2}\big(-|u_i^n-u_j^n|^{2H}+|u_{i-1}^n-u_j^n|^{2H}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|u_i^n-u_{j-1}^n|^{2H}-|u_{i-1}^n-u_{j-1}^n|^{2H}\big)\Big\}\\
&= c_H\int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_{-\infty}^{t}\Gamma^n(u,v)|u-v|^{2H-2}dvdw, \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_t^n(u,v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big\{1_{\{u_{i-1}^n<u\le u_i^n, u_{j-1}^n<v\le u_j^n, i\ge j\}}e^{-\theta_2(t-u_{i-1}^n)-\theta_1(u_{i-1}^n-u_{j-1}^n)}\\
&\qquad +1_{\{u_{i-1}^n<u\le u_i^n, u_{j-1}^n<v\le u_j^n, i<j\}}e^{-\theta_2(t-u_{j-1}^n)-\theta_1(u_{j-1}^n-u_{i-1}^n)}\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

which obviously converges point-wise to

$$1_{\{u\ge v\}}e^{-\theta_2(t-u)-\theta_1(u-v)} + 1_{\{u<v\}}e^{-\theta_2(t-v)-\theta_1(v-u)}.$$

Since $|\Gamma_t^n(v,w)| < M'$ for some $M' > 0$ uniformly in $n,\ t \le 0$, (13) is bounded by $c_H M' t^H$. Furthermore according to usual Fubini's theorem, it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[(\overline{Y}_t)^2\right] &= \int_{\Omega_1}\int_{\Omega_2} \left(\overline{Y}_t(\omega_1,\omega_2)\right)^2 dP_2 dP_1 \\ &= c_H E_\xi\left[\int_{-\infty}^t\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\xi_t+\xi_{u-}+\xi_{v-}}|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv\right] \\ &= 2c_H \int_{-\infty}^t\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\theta_2(t-u)-\theta_1(v-u)}1_{\{u\ge v\}}|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that this integral is finite. Accordingly $E[(Z_t^n)^2] \to E\left[(\overline{Y}_t)^2\right]$ as $n \to \infty$. Now we can apply Theorem 4.5.4 of Chung (2001) to Z_t^n and obtain the $L^2(\Omega)$-convergence. In consequence $E[\overline{Y}_s\overline{Y}_t]$ turns out to be finite and equation (12) follows from Fubini's theorem. Finally $E[Z_t^n] = 0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $E[\overline{Y}_t] = 0$. Hence the proof is complete. □

The process $\{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ obtained in Theorem 3.1 is the GFOU process with initial value $\overline{Y}_0$. We close this section with the following concluding Remarks.

Remark 3.3 (a) In both Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 ξ is independent of B^H and we have

$$e^{-\xi_t}\int_a^b e^{\xi_{u-}}dB_u^H = \int_a^b e^{\xi_t+\xi_{u-}}dB_u^H, \quad -\infty \le a < b < \infty.$$

This is not allowed in usual theory of stochastic integrals related to semimartingale (Protter (2004)) since $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is not adapted.
(b) From Theorem 3.1 $\lim_{t\to\infty}\xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$ implies $|Y_0| = \left|\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi_{s-}}dB_s^H\right| < \infty$ *a.s.* Ω. Therefore together with Proposition 3.1 we can also treat $\{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\ge 0} = \{Y_t + Y_0\}_{t\ge 0}$ path-wisely.
(c) We should mention that Erickson and Maller (2005)[p.81] gave another idea for improper integrals of a function of Lévy processes with respect to FBM:$\int_0^\infty g(\xi_t)dB_t^H$. Investigation of their idea is also our next concern.

4 Stationarity and Second order behavior of GFOU processes

Here we investigate the strict stationarity and the second order behavior of the GFOU process $\overline{Y} := \{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\ge 0}$. Since we could not validate the existence of $\overline{Y}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and since our main concern in this paper is the long memory case, we confine our results to the case $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ throughout this section.

The stationarity of $\overline{Y}$ is as follows.

Proposition 4.1 *If* $\lim_{t\to\infty}\xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$, *then* $\overline{Y}_t$ *exists for all* t *and the process* $\overline{Y} := \{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ *is strictly stationary.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1.
Let $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_m < \infty,\ m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h > 0$. We use the sequence Z_t^n given in (12). Since

both $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ have stationary increments, does the pair $\{(\xi_t, B_t^H)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ as well because of independence. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{t_i}^n &= \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\xi_t+\xi_{u_{j-1}^n}}(B_{u_j^n}^H - B_{u_{j-1}^n}^H), \quad -N_n = u_1^n < \ldots < u_n^n = t_i \\ &\overset{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\xi_{t+h}+\xi_{u_{j-1}^n+h}}(B_{u_j^n+h}^H - B_{u_{j-1}^n+h}^H) \\ &= Z_{t_{i-1}+h}^n, \quad 1 \le i \le m, \end{aligned}$$

simultaneously in i and we have

$$(Z_{t_1}^n, \ldots, Z_{t_m}^n) \overset{d}{=} (Z_{t_1+h}^n, \ldots, Z_{t_m+h}^n).$$

Then by virtue of Theorem 3.1 as $n \to \infty$, $(Z_{t_1}^n, \ldots, Z_{t_m}^n)$ converges in probability to $(Y_{t_1}, \ldots, Y_{t_n})$ and $(Z_{t_1+h}^n, \ldots, Z_{t_m+h}^n)$ converges in probability to $(Y_{t_1+h}, \ldots, Y_{t_n+h})$. This yields

$$(Y_{t_1}, \ldots, Y_{t_n}) \overset{d}{=} (Y_{t_1+h}, \ldots, Y_{t_n+h})$$

and the conclusion holds. □

Remark 4.1 (a) The logic in the proof works even in the case $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. If the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 are satisfied and if the integral $Y_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi_{s-}} dB_s^H$ with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ exists, then $\overline{Y}$ with $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ is defined and is strictly stationary.
(b) In connection with the GOU process $\{V\}_{t\ge 0}$ given in (1). Proposition 4.1 corresponds to Theorem 3.1 of Carmona et al. (2001), where ξ and η are independent, and under conditions of $a.s.$ convergence of the integral $\int_0^\infty e^{-\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \xi_t \overset{a.s.}{=} +\infty$ the stationary version exists and equals in distribution to V_∞.

Next we investigate the second order behavior of $\overline{Y}$ and derive the auto-covariance function explicitly. What should be remarked is that while the auto-covariance function of the GOU process V given in (1) decreases exponentially (Theorem 4.2 of Lindner and Maller (2005)), that of the FOU process $\{X_t^H\}_{t\ge 0}$ given in (1) decays like a power function (Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in Cheridito et al. (2003)). Since $\overline{Y}$ is regarded as a version of GOU processes and FOU processes, this investigation is interesting. We utilize results in Theorem 3.1 and obtain Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 below. Note that even the existence of $\overline{Y}$ and the equation (12) are obtained several difficulties still lay in calculating the auto-covariance function. The integrand in (12) is regarded as exponential moment of of 4 dependent random variable, i.e. $E_\xi[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}+\xi_t-\xi_{v-})}]$ and dependencies of these variables are different in the order of s, u, t and v. We also require that after $E_\xi[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}+\xi_t-\xi_{v-})}]$ is calculated the double integral in (12) has a suitable representation for our purpose. In the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 we will see how to get over these difficulties. Proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 are given in Appendix A since they require a lot of technical and tedious calculations.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a FBM with $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be an independent two-sided Lévy process. Suppose that $E[e^{-2\xi_1}] < 1$. Then the stationary version $\overline{Y} := \{\overline{Y}_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ exists and for* *any $s > 0, t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Y}_{t+s}) &= \mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_0, \overline{Y}_s) \\
&= c_H \Bigg(\frac{2e^{-\theta_1 s}}{\theta_2 \theta_1^{2H-1}} \Gamma(2H-1) - \frac{e^{-\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1^{2H}} \Gamma(2H-1) \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{-\theta_1 s} s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(2H-1)} {}_1\mathrm{F}_1(2H-1, 2H; \theta_1 s) + \frac{e^{2\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1^{2H}} \Gamma(2H-1, \theta_1 s) \Bigg) \\
&= c_H \Bigg[\frac{2e^{-\theta_1 s}}{\theta_2 \theta_1^{2H-1}} \Gamma(2H-1) - \frac{e^{-\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1^{2H}} \Gamma(2H-1) \\
&\quad + \frac{s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(2H-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (2H-k) \Big\{ (\theta_1 s)^{-(n+1)} - (-\theta_1 s)^{-(n+1)} \frac{\gamma(n+1, \theta_1 s)}{n!} \Big\} \Bigg],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1 := -\log(E[e^{-\xi_1}]) > 0$ and $\theta_2 := -\log(E[e^{-2\xi_1}]) > 0$. Here $\gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\Gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ are incomplete gamma functions in 8.350 of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2000) and ${}_1\mathrm{F}_1(\cdot,\cdot;\cdot)$ is the confluent hyper-geometric function in 9.210 of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2000).

Note that since $\gamma(n+1, s\theta_1) \to \Gamma(n+1) = n!$ as $s \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Y}_{t+s}) &= H \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{2n-1} (2H-k) \theta_1^{-2n} s^{2H-2n} + O(e^{-\theta_1 s}) \\
&= O(s^{2H-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

This conclude that $\overline{Y}$ with $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is a long memory process. While we obtained $\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Y}_{t+s})$ using special functions in Theorem 4.1, it is mainly for numerical purpose since for such functions useful softwares are available.

Next we investigate long time dependence of $\{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with the initial value $Y_0 := X \in L^2(\Omega)$ where X is independent of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{B_t^H\}_{t\geq 0}$.

Corollary 4.1 *Let $Y := \{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ be a GFOU process with the initial value $X \in L^2(\Omega)$, where X is independent of $\xi := \{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $B^H := \{B_t^H\}_{t\geq 0}$. Then for fixed $t \geq 0$ as $s \to \infty$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+s}) &= H \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{2n-1} (2H-k) \theta_1^{-2n} \{ s^{2H-2n} - e^{-\theta_1 t}(t+s)^{2H-2n} \} + O(s^{2H-2N-2}) \\
&= O(s^{2H-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

We see what happens to the second order behavior of the process Y if ξ in Y is replaced with B^H which is the same process as the variable of integration. Although we expect long memory this does not hold. Note that we need only the probability space $(\Omega_2, \mathscr{F}_2, P_2)$ here. For $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and the initial random variable $X \in L^2(\Omega_2)$ independent of $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, define

$$W_t = e^{-B_t^H} \left(X + \int_0^t e^{B_{u-}^H} dB_u^H \right).$$

To analyze $\{W_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ we use the path-wise integral theory (see Subsection 2.2.1). Let f be continuous differentiable and $F(x) = F(0) + \int_0^x f(y)dy$. Then with $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ it follows that

$$F(B_t^H) - F(B_0^H) = \int_0^t f(B_t^H) dB_u^H \quad a.s.$$

By setting $f = e^t$ in above we obtain

$$W_t = 1 + e^{-B_t^H}(X - 1).$$

Proposition 4.2 *Let* $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *and* $t, s \geq 0$. *Define* $M_1 := (E[X] - 1)^2$ *and* $M_2 := E[(X-1)^2]$. *The process* $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ *has the following auto-covariance and correlation functions.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}\,(W_t, W_{t+s}) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\{t^{2H}+(t+s)^{2H}\}} \left\{ M_2\, e^{\frac{1}{2}\{t^{2H}+(t+s)^{2H}-s^{2H}\}} - M_1 \right\} \\
&= O\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}\{(t+s)^{2H}+s^{2H-1}\}} \right) \ \text{as } s \to \infty. \\
\mathrm{Corr}\,(W_t, W_{t+s}) &= \frac{M_2\, e^{\frac{1}{2}(t^{2H}-s^{2H})} - M_1\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t+s)^{2H}}}{\sqrt{M_2\, e^{t^H} - M_1}\sqrt{M_2 - M_1\, e^{-(t+s)^{2H}}}} \\
&= O(e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^H}) \ \text{as } s \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

We also consider the drift added process

$$\widehat{W_t} = e^{-(B_t^H + at)} \left(X + \int_0^t e^{B_u^H + au} d(B_u^H + au) \right),$$

where $a > 0$ and $X \in L^2(\Omega_2)$ is independent of $\{B_t^H\}_{t \geq 0}$. Even if a drift is added, usual path-wise integral works and

$$\widehat{W_t} = 1 + (X - 1)e^{-(B_t^H + at)}$$

holds. Then the auto-covariance and correlation functions of $\{\widehat{W_t}\}_{t \geq 0}$ are calculated is a similar manner and become

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}(\widehat{W_t}, \widehat{W_{t+s}}) &= e^{-a(2t+s)}\mathrm{Cov}\,(W_t, W_{t+s}), \\
\mathrm{Corr}(\widehat{W_t}, \widehat{W_{t+s}}) &= \mathrm{Corr}\,(W_t, W_{t+s}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus our conclusion here is that even if a drift is added it does not have long memory.

5 Stochastic differential equation related with GFOU processes

We analyze a stochastic differential equation of which a solution is given by the GFOU process. Let $U := \{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process with generating triplet (a_U, ν_U, γ_U). Assume that the Lévy measure ν_U has no mass on $(-\infty, -1]$. The Doléans-Dade exponential of U_t is written as $\mathcal{E}(U_t) = e^{-\xi_t}$ where

$$\xi_t = -U_t + \frac{a_\xi}{2}t - \sum_{0<s\leq t} \left(\log(1 + \Delta U_s) - \Delta U_s \right).$$

See Section 2.2 of Erickson and Maller (2005). Here ξ_t is the Lévy processes.

Proposition 5.1 *Under the assumption in Proposition 3.1, GFOU $\{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with the initial value $Y_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation;*

$$dY_t = Y_{t-}dU_t + dB_t^H, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(U_t) = e^{-\xi_t}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.1.
Since the condition of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied, the integral $\int_0^t B_{s-}^H de^{\xi_s}$ also exists in the Riemann-Stieltjes path-wise sense. We use the integration by parts formula to Y_t and obtain

$$Y_t = e^{-\xi_t}\left(Y_0 - \int_0^t B_{s-}^H de^{\xi_s}\right) + B_t^H.$$

If we put $Q_t := Y_t - B_t^H$, the equation above becomes

$$Q_t = e^{-\xi_t}\left(Q_0 - \int_0^t B_{s-}^H de^{\xi_s}\right),$$

where $Q_0 = Y_0$. Since $\{e^{\xi_t}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a semimartingale and $\{B_t^H\}_{t\geq 0}$ is continuous and adapted, the process $\{Q_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is also semimartingale. We set $R_t := e^{-\xi_t}$ and $S_t := Q_0 - \int_0^t B_{s-}^H de^{\xi_s}$. Then the integration by parts formula for semimartingales (e.g. Corollary 2, II of Protter (2004)) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_t - Q_0 &= R_tS_t - R_0S_0 \\
&= \int_{0+}^t R_{s-}dS_s + \int_{0+}^t S_{s-}dR_s + [R,S]_t - R_0S_0 \\
&= -\int_{0+}^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}B_{s-}de^{\xi_s} + \int_{0+}^t Q_{s-}e^{\xi_{s-}}de^{-\xi_s} - \int_{0+}^t B_{s-}^H d[e^{-\xi}, e^{\xi}]_s \\
&= \int_{0+}^t Q_{s-}dU_s - \int_{0+}^t B_{s-}^H\left(e^{-\xi_{s-}}de^{\xi_s} - d[e^{-\xi}, e^{\xi}]_s\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe the relation between $e^{-\xi_t}$ and U_t;

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= e^{\xi_s}e^{-\xi_s} \\
&= \int_{0+}^t e^{\xi_{s-}}de^{-\xi_s} + \int_{0+}^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}de^{\xi_s} + [e^{\xi}, e^{-\xi}]_t \\
&= \int_{0+}^t dU_s + \int_{0+}^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}de^{\xi_{s-}} + [e^{\xi}, e^{-\xi}]_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this we obtain

$$Q_t - Q_0 = \int_{0+}^t \left(Q_{s-} + B_{s-}^H\right)dU_s,$$

which is equivalent to

$$Y_t - Y_0 = \int_{0+}^t Y_{s-}dU_s + B_t^H.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Remark 5.1 The Lévy measure of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is obtained from that of $\{U_t\}_{t\geq 0}$;

$$\nu_\xi((x,\infty)) = \nu_U((-\infty, e^{-x}-1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\xi((-\infty,-x)) = \nu_U((e^{x}-1,\infty)). \tag{15}$$

See again Section 2.2 of Erickson and Maller (2005). Hence if ν_U is concretely given, using criterion of p-variation in Section 2.1 we can check the condition of Proposition 3.1.

The following technical Lemma is not difficult but useful for the existence of $\{Y_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ which is directly constructed from the stochastic differential equation (14). The poof is only a calculation and we omit it.

Lemma 5.1 *Assume that $\{U_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a Lévy process and that $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}(U_t) = e^{-\xi_t}$. Then for $0 < \delta < 2$, convergence and divergence of*

$$\int_{|x|<1} |x|^{\delta} \nu_\xi(dx) \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{|x|<1} |x|^{\delta} \nu_U(dx)$$

are equivalent.

Example 5.1 As an example we consider the stochastic differential equation (14), where U_t is given by an α-stable Lévy motion ξ_t^α (see Section 2.1). From remark above the Lévy measure ν_ξ is given by

$$\nu_\xi(dx) = \begin{cases} c_2\,(1-e^{-x})^{-1-\alpha}\, e^{-x}dx & \text{on} \quad (0,\infty) \\ c_1\,(e^{-x}-1)^{-1-\alpha}\, e^{-x}dx & \text{on} \quad (-\infty,0). \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\nu_\xi(dx) \sim |x|^{-1-\alpha}dx \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \downarrow 0$$

and hence variation property of ξ_t is the same as that of $U_t = \xi_t^\alpha$. As a result $v_p(\xi)$ is finite if $p > \alpha$.

A Proofs of Section 4

Proof of Proposition 4.1.
From the stationary version $\overline{Y}$ is definable. By virtue of the stationarity of $\overline{Y}$ and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Y}_{t+s}) &= \mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t, \overline{Y}_{t+s}) \\ &= c_H \int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{-\infty}^{0} E_\xi[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}-\xi_{v-})}]|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv \\ &\quad + c_H \int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{0}^{s} E_\xi[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}-\xi_{v-})}]|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv \\ &:= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}. \end{aligned}$$

First we consider the integral I. The independent increments property of $\{\xi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}-\xi_{v-})}] &= E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_u-\xi_v)}] \\ &= E[1_{\{u\geq v\}}e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_0)-2(\xi_0-\xi_u)-(\xi_u-\xi_v)}] \\ &\quad + E[1_{\{u<v\}}e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_0)-2(\xi_0-\xi_v)-(\xi_v-\xi_u)}] \\ &= 1_{\{u\geq v\}}E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_0)}]E[e^{-2(\xi_{(-u)}-\xi_0)}]E[e^{-(\xi_{u-v}-\xi_0)}] \\ &\quad + 1_{\{u<v\}}E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_0)}]E[e^{-2(\xi_{(-v)}-\xi_0)}]E[e^{-(\xi_{v-u}-\xi_0)}]. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand $E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{u-}-\xi_{v-})}]$ is symmetric with respect to u and v, and hence

$$\mathrm{I} = 2c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{-\infty}^{0} 1_{\{u\geq v\}} e^{\theta_2 u+\theta_1(v-u)}|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv.$$

Then further calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} &= 2c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{-\infty}^{0} 1_{\{u\geq v\}} e^{\theta_2 u+\theta_1(v-u)}|u-v|^{2H-2}dudv \\
&\quad \text{(By change of variables;} \, x = u-v) \\
&= 2c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta_2 u}du\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx \qquad (16)\\
&= \frac{2c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}}{\theta_2\theta_1^{2H-1}}\Gamma(2H-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we consider II. A similar conclusion as above gives

$$\begin{aligned}
E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_{v-})-(\xi_0-\xi_{u-})}] &= E[e^{-(\xi_s-\xi_v)-(\xi_0-\xi_u)}] \\
&= e^{-\theta_1(s-v)+\theta_1(u+v)} \\
&= e^{-\theta_1 s+\theta_1(u+v)}
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II} &= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta_1 u}\int_{0}^{s}(u-v)^{2H-2}dudv \qquad (17)\\
&\quad \text{(By change of variables;} \, x = v-u) \\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\theta_1 u}\int_{s-u}^{-u} e^{\theta_1(u+x)}x^{2H-2}dudx \qquad (18)\\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\int_{0}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{0} 1_{\{-x<u<s-x\}}e^{2\theta_1 u+\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx \\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\left(\int_{0}^{s} e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx\int_{-x}^{0}e^{2\theta_1 u}du + \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx\int_{x}^{s-x}e^{2\theta_1 u}du\right) \\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\Bigg\{\int_{0}^{s}\left(\frac{1}{2\theta_1}-\frac{1}{2\theta_1}e^{-2\theta_1 x}\right)e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx \\
&\quad + \int_{s}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2\theta_1}e^{2\theta_1(s-x)}-\frac{1}{2\theta}e^{-2\theta_1 x}\right)e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx\Bigg\} \\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\Bigg(\frac{1}{2\theta_1}\int_{0}^{s} e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx - \frac{1}{2\theta_1}\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx \qquad (19)\\
&\quad + \frac{e^{2\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1}\int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx\Bigg) \\
&= c_H e^{-\theta_1 s}\Bigg(\frac{s^{2H+1}}{2\theta_1(2H-1)}{}_1\mathrm{F}_1(2H-1,2H;\theta_1 s) - \frac{1}{2\theta_1^{2H}}\Gamma(2H-1) \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{2\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1^{2H}}\Gamma(2H-1,\theta_1 s)\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining I and II, we obtain the first assertion.

The series representation of the incomplete Gamma function, i.e.

$$\Gamma(\alpha, x) = x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x}\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{x^n}(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n)\right)$$

gives

$$\Gamma(2H-1, \theta_1 s) = \frac{s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(H-1)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\prod_{k=1}^{n+1}(2H-k)(\theta_1 s)^{-(n+1)} \tag{20}$$

We apply the binomial expansion

$$(1-u/s)^{2H-2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2H-2)(2H-3)\cdots(2H-n-1)}{n!}\left(-\frac{u}{s}\right)^n, \quad (0 < u < s)$$

to the representation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{e^{-\theta_1 s}s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(H-1)}{}_1\mathrm{F}_1(2H-1, 2H; \theta_1 s) &= \frac{e^{-\theta_1 s}}{2\theta_1}\int_0^s e^{\theta_1 x}x^{2H-2}dx \\ &\quad \text{(By change of variables; } u = s-x) \\ &= \frac{s^{2H-2}}{2\theta_1}\int_0^s e^{-\theta_1 u}(1-u/s)^{2H-2}du.\end{aligned}$$

Then we exchange the infinite sum and integral by usual Fubuni's theorem and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{e^{-\theta_1 s}s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(H-1)}{}_1\mathrm{F}_1(2H-1, 2H; \theta_1 s) \\ &\quad = -\frac{s^{2H-1}}{2\theta_1(2H-1)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\prod_{k=1}^{n+1}(2H-k)(-s\theta_1)^{-(n+1)}\frac{\gamma(n+1, \theta_1 s)}{n!}.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Thus substituting these expansions (20) and (21) in the previous representation of covariance we obtain the result. □

Proof of Corollary 4.1.
Since X is independent it follows that

$$E[Y_t]E[Y_{t+s}] = (E[X])^2 e^{-\theta_1(2t+s)}. \tag{22}$$

We divide $E[Y_t, Y_{t+s}]$ into piece as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}&E[Y_t Y_{t+s}] \\ &= E\left[\left\{Xe^{-\xi_t}+\int_0^t e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-})}dB_u^H\right\}\left\{Xe^{-\xi_{t+s}}+\int_0^{t+s}e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_v^H\right\}\right] \\ &= E[X^2 e^{-(\xi_t+\xi_{t+s})}] + E\left[Xe^{-\xi_{t+s}}+\int_0^{t+s}e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_v^H\right] \\ &\quad +E\left[Xe^{-\xi_{t+s}}\int_0^t e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-})}dB_u^H\right] + E\left[\int_0^t\int_0^{t+s}e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-}+\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_u^H dB_v^H\right].\end{aligned}$$

The first term is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X^2 e^{-(\xi_t+\xi_{t+s})}] &= E[X^2]E[e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_t+2\xi_t)}] \\
&= E[X^2]E[e^{-\xi_s}]E[e^{-2\xi_t}] \\
&= E[X^2]e^{-\theta_1 s-\theta_2 t}. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 4.1, the second and the fourth terms are 0. We only need the last term, which is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t,\overline{Y}_{t+s}) - E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-})}dB_u^H \int_t^{t+s} e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_v^H\right] \\
&\quad -E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-})}dB_u^H \int_{-\infty}^{t+s} e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_v^H\right] \\
&\quad -E\left[\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(\xi_t-\xi_{u-})}dB_u^H \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-(\xi_{t+s}-\xi_{v-})}dB_v^H\right] \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t,\overline{Y}_{t+s}) - \left\{e^{-\theta_1 t}\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_0,\overline{Y}_{t+s}) - e^{-\theta_1(t+s)}\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_0,\overline{Y}_t)\right\} \\
&\quad -e^{-\theta_1 s}E\left[e^{-\xi_t}\overline{Y}_0\overline{Y}_t\right] - e^{-\theta_1 s}E[e^{-\xi_t}\overline{Y}_0 Y_t^0], \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

where Y_t^0 is Y_t with initial value 0.

By adding up (22), (23) and (24), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+s}) \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_t,\overline{Y}_{t+s}) - e^{-\theta_1 t}\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_0,\overline{Y}_{t+s}) \\
&\quad +e^{-\theta_1 s}\Bigg(E[X^2]e^{-\theta_1 t} - (E[X])^2 e^{-2\theta_1 t} + e^{-\theta_1 t}\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{Y}_0,\overline{Y}_t) \\
&\qquad -E[e^{-\xi_t}\overline{Y}_0\overline{Y}_t] - E[e^{-\xi_t}\overline{Y}_0 Y_t^0]\Bigg).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence via Theorem 4.1 the conclusion follows. □

Acknowledgment: The authors are grateful to Prof. Makoto Maejima for very helpful comments. We give deep thanks to Prof. Claudia Klüppelberg for careful reading our paper and for useful comments. Prof. Alexander Lindner suggested the topic of Section 5. We also thankful to Prof. Jean Jacod for pointing out several theoretical mistakes and for giving us nice suggestions. We shall never forget their kindness.

References

J. Beran. *Statistics for Long-Memory Processes (Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability).* Chapman & Hall, USA, 1994.

R.M. Blumenthal and R.K. Getoor. Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary independent increments. *J. Math. and Mech.*, 10:493–516, 1961.

J. Bretagnolle. p-variation de fonctons aléatoires. *Séminaire de Probabilités VI;Lect. Notes in Math.*, 258:51–71, 1972.

P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes. In O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, T. Mikosch, and S.I. Resnick, editors, *Lévy Processes: Theory and Applications*, pages 41–55. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

P. Cheridito, H. Kawaguchi, and M. Maejima. Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 8:1–14, 2003.

K.L. Chung. *A Course in Probability Theory.* Academic press, San Diego, 3 edition, 2001.

R. Doney and R.A. Maller. Stability and attraction to normality for Lévy processes at zero and at infinity. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 15:751–792, 2002.

P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim, and M.S. Taqqu. *Long-Range Dependence: Theory and Applications.* Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

R.M. Dudley and R. Norvaiša. An introduction to p-variation and young integrals: with emphasis on sample functions of stochastic process. Lecture Notes. 1998.

P. Embrechts and M. Maejima. *Selfsimilar Processes.* Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 2002.

K. Endo and M. Matsui. The stationarity of multidimensional generalized ornstein-uhlenbeck processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 2008. to appear.

K.B. Erickson and R.A. Maller. Generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the convergence of Lévy integrals. *Lecture Note in Math.*, 1857:70–94, 2005. Springer.

B. Fristedt and S.J. Taylor. Strong variation for the sample functions of a stable process. *Duke Math. J.*, 40:259–278, 1973.

I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products.* Academic Press Inc., San Diego, 6 edition, 2000.

C. Klüppelberg and R. Kostadinova. Integrated insurance risk models with exponential Lévy investment. *Insurance: Math & Economics*, 42(2):560–577, 2008.

H. Kondo, M. Maejima, and K. Sato. Some properties of exponential integrals of Lévy processes and examples. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 11:291–303, 2006.

D. Lépingle. La variation d'ordre p des semi-martingales. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.*, 36:295–316, 1976.

S.J. Lin. Stochastic analysis of fractional Brownian motions. *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, 55:121–140, 1995.

A. Lindner and R.A. Maller. Lévy integrals and the stationarity of generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stochastic Processes. Appl.*, 115:1701–1722, 2005.

T. Mikosch and R. Norvaiša. Stochastic integral equations without probability. *Bernoulli*, 6: 401–434, 2000.

I. Monroe. On the γ-variation of processes with stationary independent increments. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 43:1213–1220, 1972.

V. Pipiras and M.S. Taqqu. Integration questions related to fractional Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 118:251–291, 2000.

P.E. Protter. *Stochastic integration and differenctial equations.* Springer, Berlin, 2 edition, 2004.

G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taqqu. *Stable Non-Gaussion Random Processes: Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance.* Stochastic modeling. Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.

K. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1999.

L.C. Young. An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration. *Acta Math.*, 67:251–282, 1936.